



ELSEVIER

Discrete Mathematics 231 (2001) 289–293

DISCRETE  
MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

# Directed strongly regular graphs with $\mu = \lambda$

Leif K. Jørgensen

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Aalborg University, Fr. Bajers Vej 7,  
DK-9220 Aalborg Ø, Denmark*

Received 14 July 1999; revised 26 May 2000; accepted 7 August 2000

---

## Abstract

Directed strongly regular graphs with  $\mu = \lambda = t - 1$  and  $k - 1$  divisible by  $\mu$  are constructed from cyclic groups. Nonexistence of a directed strongly regular graph with  $n = 32$ ,  $k = 6$ ,  $t = 5$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = 1$  is proved. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Directed strongly regular graphs; Generalized Cayley graphs

---

A directed strongly regular graph with parameters  $(n, k, \mu, \lambda, t)$  is a regular directed graph on  $n$  vertices with degree  $k$ , such that every vertex is incident with  $t$  undirected edges, and the number of paths of length 2 directed from a vertex  $x$  to a vertex  $y$  is  $\lambda$  if there is an  $x \rightarrow y$  edge and  $\mu$  otherwise. We use the notation  $x \rightarrow y$  if there is an edge directed from  $x$  to  $y$  and possibly also an edge directed from  $y$  to  $x$ . The adjacency matrix  $A$  of a directed strongly regular graph satisfies the equations

$$A^2 = tI + \lambda A + \mu(J - I - A) \quad \text{and} \quad JA = AJ = kJ.$$

By counting the number paths of length 2, we see that the parameters are related by the equation  $k(k + (\mu - \lambda)) = t + (n - 1)\mu$ .

These graphs were first investigated by Duval [1].

In this paper we consider only the case where  $0 < t < k$ , since otherwise the graph is either a doubly regular tournament or an undirected strongly regular graph. Then in fact  $t \geq \max\{\mu, \lambda + 1\}$ , see [1].

The case  $\mu = \lambda = 1$  was considered earlier by Hammersley [2].

Duval [1] proved that a directed strongly regular graph with  $t = 2$  and  $\mu = \lambda = 1$  exists if  $k$  is even. In the next theorem we extend this result to odd  $k$  and some parameter sets with  $t - 1 = \mu = \lambda > 1$ . We use a construction known as generalized Cayley graphs, see Marušič, Scapellato and Zagaglia Salvi [5].

---

*E-mail address:* leif@math.auc.dk (L.K. Jørgensen).

**Theorem 1.** *For every pair of natural numbers  $\mu$  and  $k$  where  $\mu$  divides  $k-1$  there exists a directed strongly regular graph with parameters  $(n, k, \mu, \lambda=\mu, t=\mu+1)$  where  $n = (k+1)(k-1)/\mu$ .*

**Proof.** The vertices of the graph are the integers modulo  $n$ .

The edge set is

$$\{x \rightarrow y \mid x + ky \in \{1, \dots, k\} \pmod{n}\}.$$

Every vertex  $x$  has  $k$  outneighbours  $k(s-x)$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, k$ , as  $k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Every vertex  $y$  has  $k$  inneighbours  $s - ky$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, k$ .

The graph has no loops  $x \rightarrow x$ , as any number congruent to  $x + kx$  modulo  $n$  is divisible by  $k+1$ .

For any two vertices  $x$  and  $z$  we can write  $z \equiv x + h \pmod{n}$ ,  $0 \leq h \leq k^2 - 1$  in exactly  $\mu$  ways if  $x \neq z$  and in exactly  $t = \mu + 1$  ways if  $x = z$ . For any such number  $h$  there is a unique way to write  $h = qk - r$  such that  $1 \leq q, r \leq k$ . We therefore have paths  $x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z$ , where  $y \equiv k(r-x)$ , since  $x + ky \equiv r$  and  $y + kz \equiv k(r-x+z) \equiv k(kq) \equiv q$ .

For any two vertices  $x$  and  $z$ , let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be numbers such that  $0 \leq h_i \leq k^2 - 1$  and  $z \equiv x + h_i \pmod{n}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore, write  $h_i = q_i k - r_i$  where  $1 \leq q_i, r_i \leq k$  and  $y_i \equiv k(r_i - x)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . We must show that if  $h_1 \neq h_2$ , then  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . So suppose that  $y_1 = y_2$ . Since  $k(r_1 - x) \equiv y_1 = y_2 \equiv k(r_2 - x) \pmod{n}$ , we have  $r_1 \equiv r_2 \pmod{n}$ , i.e.,  $r_1 = r_2$ . This implies that  $h_1 = q_1 k - r_1 \equiv q_2 k - r_2 = h_2 \pmod{k}$ . Since  $h_1 \equiv z - x \equiv h_2 \pmod{n}$  and  $n$  and  $k$  are relatively prime, we then have  $h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{nk}$ . Since  $nk = (k^2 - 1)k/\mu > k^2 - 1$  and  $0 \leq h_1, h_2 \leq k^2 - 1$ , it follows that  $h_1 = h_2$ .

Therefore, for a fixed vertex  $x$ , we have at least  $\mu$  paths of length 2 from  $x$  to each of the other  $n - 1$  vertices and at least  $t$  paths of length 2 from  $x$  to  $x$ . Since  $(n - 1)\mu + t = \mu n + 1 = k^2$ , we do not have more than the required number of paths of length 2 starting at  $x$ .  $\square$

We now want to find the automorphism groups of the graphs constructed in Theorem 1. Many previous constructions of directed strongly regular graphs [1,3,4] are vertex transitive, but our graphs usually have smaller groups.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  denote a graph constructed in Theorem 1. Then the maps  $\alpha: i \mapsto i + (k-1)/\mu$  and  $\beta: i \mapsto k - i$  generate the automorphism group of  $G$ , which is the dihedral group of order  $2(k+1)$ .*

*If  $k = 2\mu + 1$  then  $n = 4\mu + 4$  and  $G$  is a Cayley graph of this group.*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are permutations of the vertex set of order  $k+1$  and 2, respectively.

Suppose that  $x \rightarrow y$ , i.e.  $x + ky \in \{1, \dots, k\} \pmod{n}$ . Then

$$\beta(x) + k\beta(y) = k - x + k^2 - ky \equiv k + 1 - (x + ky) \in \{1, \dots, k\} \pmod{n}$$

and so  $\beta(x) \rightarrow \beta(y)$ . For a fixed number  $c$  the map  $x \mapsto x + c$  is an automorphism if and only if for all  $x, y$ ,

$$x + ky \in \{1, \dots, k\} \bmod n \Leftrightarrow (x + c) + k(y + c) \in \{1, \dots, k\} \bmod n,$$

i.e., if  $c + kc \equiv 0 \bmod n$ . Thus the map  $x \mapsto x + c$  is an automorphism if and only if  $c$  is a multiple of  $(k - 1)/\mu$ .

It follows that  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are automorphisms. Since for every  $i$ ,  $\beta\alpha\beta(i) = k - ((k - i) + (k - 1)/\mu) = i - (k - 1)/\mu = \alpha^{-1}(i)$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  generate the dihedral group of order  $2(k + 1)$ .

For fixed  $x$  and  $i$ ,  $y$  is a common outneighbour of  $x$  and  $x + i$  if both  $x + ky$  and  $x + ky + i$  belong to  $\{1, \dots, k\} \bmod n$ . Thus  $x$  and  $x + i$  have exactly  $k - 1$  common outneighbours if and only if  $i = \pm 1$ . Any automorphism of  $G$  will therefore preserve (or reverse) the cyclic order of  $0, \dots, n - 1$ . Thus the automorphism group is a subgroup of the dihedral group of order  $2n$ . Using the above characterization of which rotations are automorphisms, we see that every automorphism of  $G$  is in the group generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Suppose the order of this group is equal to the number of vertices in  $G$ , i.e.  $(k - 1)/\mu = 2$  and suppose an automorphism  $\alpha^i\beta$  has a fixed point  $x$ , then  $x \equiv \alpha^i\beta(x) = k - x + i(k - 1)/\mu = 2\mu + 1 - x + 2i$ , and so  $2x \equiv 2\mu + 1 + 2i$ . But since  $n$  is even an even number and an odd number cannot be congruent modulo  $n$ . Thus  $\alpha^i\beta$  has no fixed point. Clearly a non-identity automorphism of the form  $\alpha^i$  cannot have fixed points. Thus the dihedral group acts regularly on  $G$ .  $\square$

The outneighbours of vertex 0 are  $k, 2k, \dots, k^2$ . The generators for the Cayley graph are the automorphisms that map 0 to its outneighbours, i.e.  $\beta, \alpha^k, \alpha^k\beta, \alpha^{2k}, \dots, \alpha^{\mu k}, \alpha^{\mu k}\beta$ . By applying the group automorphism  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^k, \beta \mapsto \beta$  we get the generating set  $\{\alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^\mu, \beta, \alpha\beta, \dots, \alpha^\mu\beta\}$ . These Cayley graphs were first found by Hobart and Shaw [3].

The smallest new graphs constructed in Theorem 1 have parameters  $(24, 5, 1, 1, 2)$  and  $(33, 10, 3, 3, 4)$ . These graphs are not vertex-transitive, but in the first case there exists another graph which is vertex-transitive.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $S_4$  be the symmetric group on the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then the Cayley graph of  $S_4$  with generators  $(3\ 4), (2\ 3\ 4), (1\ 2\ 4), (1\ 3\ 2\ 4), (1\ 4)(2\ 3)$  is a directed strongly regular graph with  $n = 24$ ,  $k = 5$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = 1$ ,  $t = 2$ .*

Above we considered directed strongly regular graphs with  $\mu = \lambda$  and the smallest possible value of  $t$ . We now consider graphs with the largest possible value of  $t$ .

Suppose there exists a directed strongly regular graph with  $t = k - 1$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = 1$ . Duval [1] proved that the parameters of a directed strongly regular graph satisfies  $(\mu - \lambda)^2 + 4(t - \mu) = d^2$  and  $d \mid 2k - (\mu - \lambda)(n - 1)$ , for some positive integer  $d$ . From this we get  $4(t - 1) = d^2$  and  $d \mid 2(t + 1)$ . Then  $2d$  divides  $4(t + 1) = d^2 + 8$ . Since  $d$

is even,  $2d$  divides  $d^2$  and also 8, and so either  $d = 2$ ,  $t = 2$ ,  $k = 3$ ,  $n = 8$  (where the unique graph was constructed in Theorem 1) or  $d = 4$ ,  $t = 5$ ,  $k = 6$ ,  $n = 32$ .

**Theorem 4.** *There is no directed strongly regular graph with  $n = 32$ ,  $k = 6$ ,  $t = 5$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = 1$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose there exists a directed strongly regular graph  $G$  with  $k = t + 1$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = 1$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $G$ , and  $z, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_t$  be the vertices so that  $x \leftrightarrow y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , and  $z \rightarrow x \rightarrow y_0$ .

Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $G$  spanned by  $\{y_0, \dots, y_t\}$ . Then every vertex in  $H$  has indegree exactly 1 in  $H$ , as there is  $\lambda = 1$  path of length 2 from  $x$  to a vertex  $y_i$ .

(1) Suppose that  $y_h, y_i, y_j$  is a directed path from  $y_h$  to  $y_j$ . Then a directed path  $y_h, x, y_j$  cannot exist. Thus  $h = 0$ .

We may assume that the inneighbour of  $y_0$  in  $H$  is  $y_1$ . Since  $k - t = 1$  and  $x \rightarrow y_0$ ,  $y_0 y_1$  is an undirected edge. Since  $y_0$  has indegree 1 in  $H$ , it is not adjacent to any other vertex in  $H$ , by (1).

A path of length 2 from  $z$  to  $x$  must be of the form  $z \leftrightarrow y_i \leftrightarrow x$ . If  $y_i$  has an outneighbour  $y_j$  then we have two paths  $z, x, y_j$  and  $z, y_i, y_j$ . Thus  $y_i$  has no outneighbour in  $H$ . We may assume that  $i = 2$ . Let  $y_j$  be the inneighbour of  $y_2$ . Since the inneighbour of  $y_j$  cannot be  $y_2$ , it follows from (1) that its inneighbour is  $y_0$  and  $y_j = y_1$ . Then  $y_2$  does not have any further neighbour in  $H$ . Neither does  $y_1$  as it cannot have two outneighbours in  $\{y_2, \dots, y_t\}$ .

Now in the graph spanned by  $\{y_3, \dots, y_t\}$  every vertex has indegree exactly 1 and outdegree at most 1, and, by (1), there is no path of length 2. It follows that it is a union of disjoint 2-cycles, and so  $t$  is even. In particular  $t \neq 5$ .  $\square$

Although we constructed directed strongly regular graphs from cyclic groups and as Cayley graphs of non-abelian groups, a directed strongly regular graph cannot be a Cayley graph of an abelian group. This follows from a result of Klin et al. [4].

**Theorem 5.** *A directed strongly regular graph cannot be a Cayley graph of an abelian group.*

**Proof.** The adjacency matrix of a directed strongly regular graph can be written as  $A_s + A_n$ , where  $A_s^T = A_s$  and  $A_n + A_n^T$  is a  $\{0, 1\}$  matrix. Using eigenvalue techniques it was proved in [4] that  $A_s A_n \neq A_n A_s$ .

Suppose that a directed strongly regular graph is a Cayley graph of a group  $G$  with generatorset  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_s = \{g \in \mathcal{S} \mid g^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_n = \{g \in \mathcal{S} \mid g^{-1} \notin \mathcal{S}\}$ . Then the Cayley graphs of  $G$  generated by  $\mathcal{S}_s$  and  $\mathcal{S}_n$  have adjacency matrices  $A_s$  and  $A_n$ , respectively. To say that  $A_s A_n \neq A_n A_s$  means that there exist vertices  $x$  and  $y$  so that the number of paths of the form  $x \leftrightarrow z \rightarrow y, z \leftarrow y$  is not equal to the number of paths of the form  $x \rightarrow z \leftrightarrow y, x \leftarrow z$ . It follows that there exists  $g \in \mathcal{S}_s$  and  $h \in \mathcal{S}_n$  so that  $gh \neq hg$ .  $\square$

**References**

- [1] A. Duval, A directed graph version of strongly regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory A* 47 (1988) 71–100.
- [2] J.M. Hammersley, The friendship theorem and the love problem, in: E. Keith Lloyd (Ed.), *Surveys in Combinatorics, Invited papers for Ninth British Combinatorial Conference*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Notes Series, vol. 82, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983, pp. 31–54.
- [3] S.A. Hobart, T.J. Shaw, A note on A family of directed strongly regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* 20 (1999) 819–820.
- [4] M. Klin, A. Munemasa, M. Muzychuk, P.-H. Zieschang, Directed strongly regular graphs via coherent (cellular) algebras, preprint Kyushu-MPS-1997-12, Kyushu University, 1997.
- [5] D. Marušič, R. Scapellato, N. Zagaglia Salvi, Generalized Cayley graphs, *Discrete Math.* 102 (1992) 279–285.